

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/770,894	02/03/2004	William W. McWhorter JR.	Pharmacia 00560.Div 1	1072	
26303	26303 7590 02/22/2005			EXAMINER	
FLYNN, THIEL, BOUTELL & TANIS, P.C. 2026 RAMBLING ROAD			HABTE, KAHSAY		
	O, MI 49008-1699	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1624		
			DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/770,894	MCWHORTER, WILLIAM W.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kahsay Habte, Ph. D.	1624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-33,36,42,43 and 45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-33,36,42,43 and 45 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-33, 36, 42-43 and 45 are pending in this application.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-33 (in part), 36 (in part) and 45 (in part), drawn to azepines or diazepines (Z = C or N, n = 2 or Z = -C(O)N(R9)- or -N(R9)C(O)-, n = 1), classified in class 540, subclass 577, 578 and 579.
 - II. Claims 1-33 (in part), 36 (in part) and 45 (in part), drawn to oxazepines or thiazepines (Z = O or S, n = 2), classified in class 540, subclass 1.
 - III. Claims 1-33 (in part), 36 (in part) and 45 (in part), drawn to piperazines (Z = N, n = 1), classified in class 544, subclass 343.
 - IV. Claims 1-33 (in part), 36 (in part) and 45 (in part), drawn to piperidines (Z = C, n = 1), classified in class 546, subclass 66.
 - V. Claims 1-33 (in part), 36 (in part) and 45 (in part), drawn to others, classified in class 540, subclass 480 and 481.
 - VI. Claims 42-43, drawn to a method of treating a disease or condition wherein the 5-HT_{2c} receptors is implicated and modulation of 5-HT_{2c} function is desired classified in class 514, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I-V are directed to structurally dissimilar compounds such that the variable core

Art Unit: 1624

created by the varying definitions of **Z** in Formula **I** or in Formula **II** do not belong to the same recognized class of chemical compounds in the art, and references anticipating one invention, would not render obvious the others. For example, Group I is different from Groups II-V, since azepines and diazepines contain 1-2 nitrogens in a 7membered ring that is not present in Groups II-V. Group II is drawn to oxazepines (O and N in a 7-membered ring) or thiazepines (S and N in a 7-membered ring) and is, different from Group I and Groups III-V. Group III is drawn to piperazines (2 nitrogens at 1.4-positionin in a 6-membered ring) and is different from Groups I-II and Groups IV-V, since this core structure is not present in other groups. Group IV is drawn to piperidines (six-membered ring with one N, Z = N) and is different from Groups I-IV, because this core structure it is not present in Groups I-III and Group V. Group V is drawn to others (8-membered ring with 2 nitrogens, i.e. n = 2, Z = -C(O)N(R9)- or – N(R9)C(O)-) and is different from Groups I-IV. Inventions I-V and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the compounds of Group I are used in the treatment of congestive heart failure or hypertension that is different from the utility recited in claims 42-43. Thus, separate searches in the literature as well as in the U.S. Patent Classification System would be required. Each group's compounds are made and used independently of each other and could support separate patents. The compounds differ significantly in

Art Unit: 1624

chemical structures. One skilled in the art would not consider such diverse structure equivalents of each other.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Advisory Rejoinder

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims

Art Unit: 1624

and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Sydney Williams on February 2, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

Art Unit: 1624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on (571) 272-0674, if there is no reply within 24 hours, James Wilson (Acting SPE) can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kahsay Habte, Ph. D

Examiner
Art Unit 1624

KH

February 17, 2005